

Problem Set 4
Fields in AdS

Due: Tuesday, November 4, 2008.

1. **One more symmetry.**

Define $x^A \equiv (z, x^\mu)$, so that the Poincaré patch metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{dz^2 + d\vec{x}^2}{z^2} = \frac{dx^A dx_A}{z^2}.$$

Show that this metric is preserved by the following *inversion* symmetry:

$$x^A \rightarrow \frac{x^A}{x^B x_B}$$

i.e. $z \rightarrow \frac{z}{z^2 + \vec{x}^2}$, $x^\mu \rightarrow \frac{x^\mu}{z^2 + \vec{x}^2}$. This is a conformal transformation which is not continuously connected to the identity.

[Bonus question: what does this do to the region of the global *AdS* space covered by the Poincaré patch coordinates?]

2. **Schrödinger description of AdS instabilities.**¹

a) Consider the scalar wave equation in Poincaré *AdS*, in momentum space:

$$0 = (\square - m^2)\phi,$$

with $\phi(x, z) = e^{ik \cdot x} \phi(z)$. By redefining the independent variable, rewrite the scalar wave equation as a Schrödinger equation:

$$(-\partial_z^2 + V(z))\Psi(z) = \omega^2 \Psi(z)$$

with ω^2 playing the role of energy. (Hint: Rewrite $\Psi(z) = A(z)\phi(z)$, and choose the function A to set to zero the term in the wave equation multiplying $\Psi'(z)$.)

¹I learned about this trick from Sean Hartnoll. If you get stuck or want to see a recent application of this technique, see *e.g.* appendix A of 0810.1563.

b) A property of a field configuration which generally determines whether it is allowed to participate in the physics (*i.e.* whether it is fixed by boundary conditions, or whether it can happen on its own) is whether it is *normalizable*. In Euclidean space, this generally just means that the euclidean action evaluated on the configuration is finite, since then it contributes to the path integral with a finite Boltzmann factor $e^{-S[\phi]}$. In Minkowski signature, a field configuration should be considered normalizable if it has finite energy; for a scalar field, this energy is

$$\mathcal{E}[\phi] \equiv \int_{\Sigma} T_{AB} \xi^A n^B$$

where the integral is over some fixed-time slice Σ , n is a unit normal vector to Σ , ξ is a time-like killing vector, and T_{AB} is the stress tensor for the bulk field, $T_{AB} \equiv \frac{2}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{AB}}$.

Given the redefinition you found in part a), what is the relationship between normalizable wave functions in the usual QM sense (*i.e.* $\|\Psi\|^2 < \infty$) and normalizable solutions of the AdS wave equation (*i.e.* those with finite energy)?

c) Set $\vec{k} = 0$. Show that when m^2 passes through the value $-|m_{BF}^2|$ from above, this Schrödinger equation develops a single normalizable negative-energy state.² (Recall that the BF bound is $m^2 \geq m_{BF}^2 = -\frac{D^2}{4L^2}$.) This corresponds by the map above to a normalizable mode with *imaginary* frequency – *i.e.* a linear instability.

3. Dimensions of vector operators.

By studying the boundary behavior of solutions to the bulk Proca equations, derived from the action

$$S_{\text{bulk}}[A] = -\kappa \int_{AdS} d^{p+2}x \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m^2}{2} A^\mu A_\mu \right)$$

compute the scaling dimension Δ of the current coupled to a bulk vector field A_μ of arbitrary mass:

$$S_{\text{bdy}} = \int_{\partial AdS} d^{p+1}x \sqrt{\gamma} A_\mu J^\mu.$$

For purposes of this problem, define Δ to be the power of z of the *subleading* power-law solution of the bulk equation near the boundary at $z = 0$.

²If you want to learn more about potentials of this form, see H. Hammer and B. Swingle, *Annals Phys.* 321 (2006) 306-317 [arXiv:quant-ph/0503074v1].

4. Saturating the unitarity bound.³

For this problem we will work in Euclidean AdS . Feel free to redo the problem for the Lorenzian case (using the notion of normalizability described in problem two).

a) Consider the usual bulk action for a (free) scalar field in AdS_{D+1} :

$$S_{\text{usual}}[\phi] = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^D x dz \sqrt{g} ((\partial\phi)^2 + m^2\phi^2)$$

Show that for $m^2 L^2 > -\frac{D^2}{4} + 1$,

$$S_{\text{usual}}[z^{\Delta_-}] = \infty.$$

That is, the integral over the radial direction in this action diverges near $z = 0$ when evaluated on a solution of the form $\phi \sim z^{\Delta_-}$, where Δ_- is the *smaller* root of $\Delta(\Delta - D) = L^2 m^2$; such a solution is non-normalizable. On the other hand, show that z^{Δ_+} gives a finite value, and hence a solution with these asymptotics is normalizable. With this action, show that the smallest conformal dimension $\Delta = \Delta_+$ of a scalar operator in the dual theory is $\Delta \geq \frac{D}{2}$.

b) Show that the following modified action

$$S_{KW}[\phi] \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \int d^{D+1} x \sqrt{g} (\phi(-\square + m^2)\phi) = S_{\text{usual}}[\phi] - \int_{\partial AdS} \sqrt{\gamma} \phi \partial_n \phi.$$

produces the same bulk equations, but has the following property: in the window of mass values (we could call this the KW window)

$$-\frac{D^2}{4} < L^2 m^2 < -\frac{D^2}{4} + 1,$$

both roots Δ_{\pm} give normalizable solutions with respect to the action S_{KW} (*i.e.* $S_{KW}[z^{\Delta_{\pm}}] < \infty$).

What is the lowest conformal dimension you can obtain now? (Hint: you can now consider Δ_+ to be the dimension of the source, and Δ_- to be the dimension of the operator.)

³This result is from Klebanov-Witten, hep-th/9905104.