University of California at San Diego – Department of Physics – Prof. John McGreevy Physics 230 Quantum Phases of Matter, Spr 2024 Assignment 5 – Solutions

Due 11pm Thursday, May 9, 2024

1. Charges of quasiparticles in abelian CS EFT.

In an abelian CS theory with K-matrix K, show that a quasiparticle with charge ℓ^{I} under CS gauge field a^{I} has electric charge

$$q_l = tK^{-1}l.$$

The EFT for a charge at the origin is

$$L = \frac{1}{4\pi} K_{IJ} a^{I} da^{J} + \frac{1}{2\pi} A t_{I} da^{I} + \ell_{I} a_{0}^{I} \delta^{2}(x).$$

The EOM for a_0^I is

$$0 = \frac{\delta S}{\delta a_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} K da + \ell \delta^2$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$da = 2\pi K^{-1}\ell\delta.$$

The source for A_0 is then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}t_I da^I = tK^{-1}\ell\delta^2(x).$$

2. Quasiparticle wavefunctions.

(a) Use the flux-threading argument starting from the Laughlin $\nu = \frac{1}{m}$ state to construct wavefunctions for the quasihole and quasiparticle. That is, write down a wavefunction of N electrons with the property that it acquires a phase $e^{\pm i\theta}$ when the coordinate z_i of any electron is taken around the point $z_i = w$ by an angle θ : $z_i - w \to e^{i\theta}(z_i - w)$, $\forall i = 1..N$.

In the latter case, don't forget to project onto the lowest Landau level.

Alternatively, you can try to use the parton construction, i.e. add or remove a single parton.

A simple (parton-independent) way to motivate the quasihole wavefunction is to find the wavefunction that results by threading 2π flux at the point w in the complex plane. (We saw earlier that on general grounds, if the state is gapped, this produces an excitation with statistics $\pi \sigma^{xy}$.) Threading 2π flux at w means that the wavefunction should acquire the phase $e^{i\theta}$ when we move any of the electrons around the point w: $z_i - w \to e^{i\theta}(z_i - w)$, $\forall i = 1..N$. A very easy way to accomplish this is to multiply the wavefunction by the factor

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} (z_i - w)$$

That's it. No need for an LLL projection, since it's still holomorphic. The full wavefunction for a quasihole at w is then

$$\tilde{\Psi}_w(z) = \prod_{i=1}^N (z_i - w) \prod_{i< j}^N (z_i - z_j)^m e^{-\sum_i \frac{|z_i|^2}{4\ell_B^2}}.$$

Notice that this is still a wavefunction for N electrons.

The quasiparticle wavefunction should acquire the opposite phase, so we'd like to multiply by

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} (\bar{z}_i - \bar{w})$$

but that's not a LLL wavefunction. The projection of this to the LLL is the quasiparticle wavefunction.

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{\bar{w}}(z) = \mathcal{P}_{LLL} \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\bar{z}_i - \bar{w}) \prod_{i$$

Let's try acting on the parton groundstate with a single parton annihilation operator, $f_{\alpha}(w)$, where α is a species label on the partons: $c = \prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$. The problem with this idea is that it removes a parton. But the projection to the gauge invariant Hilbert space requires that there be the same number of electrons as each type of parton, so that the state has a nonzero overlap $\Psi(r) = \langle 0 | \prod_i c(r_i) | \text{parton state} \rangle.$

If we act with a single creation operator $f^{\dagger}(\eta)$, we must start occupying the second parton Landau level, so that's a good sign that we'll need a LLL projection.

But I conclude that at the moment I don't know how to motivate the Laughlin quasihole and quasiparticle wavefunctions from partons. Please let me know if you do.

- (b) Using the plasma analogy, show that your quasihole wavefunction produces a localized charge deficit of charge 1/m.
 See the footnote in the answer to the next part.
- (c) Construct a wavefunction with *two* quasiholes and use it to verify their statistics (by adiabatically moving them around each other and computing the resulting Berry phase).

This calculation was first done here.

The state is

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{12}(z) = \prod_{i=1} (z_i - w_1) \prod_{i=1} (z_i - w_2) \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^m.$$

Let's compute the Berry connection for varying w_1 :

$$\mathcal{A}_{w_1} = \langle \Psi_{12} | \mathbf{i} \partial_{w_1} | \Psi_{12} \rangle$$
.

First we have to make sure the state is normalized: the normalization factor is

$$Z(w) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} d^2 z_i \prod_i |w_1 - z_i|^2 |w_2 - z_i|^2 \prod_{i < j} |z_{ij}|^{2m} e^{-\sum |z|^2/(2\ell_B^2)}, \quad (1)$$

and I'll write $\Psi = Z^{-1/2} \Psi_0$. So

$$\mathcal{A}_{w_1} = \mathbf{i}(Z^{-1} \langle \Psi_0 | \partial_{w_1} | \Psi_0 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi_0 | Z^{-1} \partial_{w_1} \log Z | \Psi_0 \rangle$$
(2)

$$= \mathbf{i} \left(\left\langle \sum_{i} \frac{1}{w_1 - z_i} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{w_1} \log Z \right)$$
(3)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi_{12} | \sum_{i} \frac{\mathbf{i}}{w_1 - \hat{z}_i} | \Psi_{12} \rangle .$$

$$\tag{4}$$

The Berry phase accumulated by moving w_1 in a circle (of radius, say R) around w_2 is then

$$\gamma_{12} \equiv \oint_{C_{w_2}} dw_1 \mathcal{A}_{w_1} + h.c.$$
(5)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi_{12} | \mathbf{i} \oint_{C_{w_2}} dw_1 \sum_i \frac{1}{w_1 - \hat{z}_i} | \Psi_{12} \rangle + h.c.$$
(6)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi_{12} | (-2\pi) \sum_{i} \Theta(\hat{z}_{i} \in C_{w_{2}}) | \Psi_{12} \rangle + h.c.$$
(7)

where we used Cauchy's theorem, and

$$\Theta(s) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the statement } s \text{ is true} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

This last expression is the average number of electrons inside the circle of radius R about w_2 (times -2π). If there were no quasihole at w_2 , this would be (for large enough R) just $-2\pi\nu\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}$, where $\Phi = \int_{C_{w_2}} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{a}$ is the flux through the circle. This contribution is not necessarily 2π times an integer, and would be there even if the path C did not go around another quasihole. It represents the Aharonov-Bohm phase acquired by the particle, which you can see therefore has charge 1/m.

The presence of the quasihole at $z = w_2$ decreases the electron density. It decreases the expected number of electrons in the neighboring region by $\frac{1}{m}^1$, and therefore the contribution from w_2 to the Berry phase is $\gamma_{12} = -2\pi \frac{1}{m}$. The quasihole exchange phase is then

$$\theta_{12} = \frac{\gamma_{12}}{2} = \frac{\pi}{m} = \pi \nu.$$

See David Tong's notes pp 93-96 for some more discussion of this calculation. I think the trick I used above is a successful simplification.

$$\rho(z,\bar{z}) = \int \prod_{i=2}^{N} d^2 z_i |\Psi_w(z,z_2\cdots z_N)|^2 = \int \prod_{i=2}^{N} d^2 z_i e^{\sum_{1< i< j} \log |z_i-z_j|^2 + \sum_{1< i} \log |z-w|^2 - \sum_i \frac{|z_i|^2}{2\ell_B^2}}$$

¹Here I am appealing to a result from the plasma analogy. The charge density

in the quasihole wavefunction is the density of a one-component plasma of charge-m objects (with logarithmic mutual interactions) that see a neutralizing background (that's the quadratic term) plus an extra potential from a fixed impurity of positive unit charge at z = w. As Girvin and Yang say (page 447), 'the chief desire of the plasma is to maintain charge neutrality'. This is accomplished by forming a screening cloud near z = w to screen the impurity. Screening the cloud requires a deficit of 1/mth of a charge-m particle. Those particles sit at the electron positions, so this is 1/mth of an electron missing.