

## Quantum Mechanics C (Physics 130C) Winter 2015 Assignment 3

Posted January 20, 2015

Due 11am Thursday, January 29, 2015

Please remember to put your name at the top of your homework.

Note that this problem set has been shortened relative to its initial version.

Reading: Reading: On two-state systems: [Preskill §2.2](#), Schumacher §2.1. On composite quantum systems: [Preskill §2.3](#), Le Bellac Chapter 6.

### 1. Pauli spin matrix gymnastics.

(This problem is long but each part is pretty simple.) Recall the definition of the Pauli spin matrices:

$$\sigma^x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma^y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(Occasionally I will write instead  $\sigma^x \equiv \sigma^1 \equiv \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\sigma^y \equiv \sigma^2 \equiv \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $\sigma^z \equiv \sigma^3 \equiv \mathbf{Z}$ .)

- Show that the  $\sigma^i$  are Hermitian.
- Find their eigenvalues and eigenvectors.
- There are only so many two-by-two matrices. A product of sigmas can be written in terms of sigmas. Show that

$$\sigma^i \sigma^j = i\epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k + \delta^{ij} \mathbb{1} \tag{1}$$

where  $\epsilon^{ijk}$  is the completely antisymmetric object with  $\epsilon^{123} = 1$  (that is:  $\epsilon^{ijk} = 0$  if any of  $ijk$  are the same, = 1 if  $ijk$  is a cyclic permutation of 123 and = -1 if  $ijk$  is an odd permutation of 123, like 132)<sup>1</sup>. You may prefer to do the next two parts of the problem first.

- Convince yourself that (1) implies

$$[\sigma^i, \sigma^j] = 2i\epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k,$$

and that therefore  $\mathbf{J}_{\frac{1}{2}}^i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sigma^i$  satisfy

$$[\mathbf{J}_{\frac{1}{2}}^i, \mathbf{J}_{\frac{1}{2}}^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} \mathbf{J}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Note the use of the Einstein summation convention here: the index  $k$  in equation (1) is summed.

the same algebra as the rotation generators on the 3-state system in §1.5 of the lecture notes. [Cultural note: this is the Lie algebra called  $\mathbf{su}(2)$ .]

(e) Convince yourself that (1) implies

$$\{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^i, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^j\} = 2\delta^{ij}$$

where  $\{A, B\} \equiv AB + BA$  is called the *anti-commutator*.

[Cultural note: this is called the Dirac algebra or Clifford algebra.]

It may be useful to note that  $\{A, B\} + [A, B] = 2AB$ .

(f) Convince yourself that (1) is the same as

$$(\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \vec{a})(\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \vec{b}) = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} + \mathbf{i}\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}).$$

In particular, check that  $(\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \hat{n})^2 = \mathbb{1}$  if  $\hat{n}$  is a unit vector.

(g) Show that

$$e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \hat{n}} = \mathbb{1} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + \mathbf{i}\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \hat{n} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$$

where  $\hat{n}$  is a unit vector.

[Hint: use the Taylor expansion of the LHS (left-hand side):  $e^A = 1 + A + A^2/2! + \dots$  and the previous results for  $(\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \hat{n})^2$ .]

## 2. Time evolution of a two-level system. Le Bellac, Problem 4.4.4, parts 1-3.

Here's a summary, in case you don't have the book: Consider the Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & -A \end{pmatrix}$$

in the basis  $|+\rangle \equiv |\uparrow\rangle, |-\rangle \equiv |\downarrow\rangle$ , where  $A, B$  are real numbers. Set  $\hbar = 1$ . You know the eigensystem of this matrix from our discussion of Pauli gymnastics. It is useful to write it in terms of  $\Omega, \theta$  defined by

$$\Omega = 2\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}, \quad \tan \theta = \frac{B}{A}.$$

1. The state vector at time  $t$  can be written as

$$|\varphi(t)\rangle = c_+(t)|+\rangle + c_-(t)|-\rangle.$$

Find a system of ODEs for the components  $c_{\pm}(t)$  that follow from the Schrödinger equation.

2. Decompose the initial state  $|\varphi(t=0)\rangle$  in the energy eigenbasis ( $H|\epsilon_{\pm}\rangle = \epsilon_{\pm}|\epsilon_{\pm}\rangle$ ):

$$|\varphi(t=0)\rangle = \sum_{\pm} a_{\pm}|\epsilon_{\pm}\rangle.$$

Express  $c_+(t)$  in terms of the coefficients  $a_{\pm}$  (Le Bellac calls them  $a_+ = \lambda, a_- = \mu$ .)

3. Suppose  $c_+(0) = 0$ . Find  $a_{\pm}$  (up to a phase) in terms of  $A, B$  (or rather,  $\Omega$  and  $\theta$ ). Find the probability of finding the system in the state  $|\uparrow\rangle$  at time  $t$ .

4. You can skip this part if you prefer.

### 3. Rotations of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Imagine you have under your control a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  particle. By this I mean that the particle is fixed in space in your lab (so you can ignore the physics of its position degrees of freedom for this problem), and you have a machine by which you can rotate its spin by an arbitrary angle about an arbitrary axis, and measure its spin about the  $\hat{z}$  axis.

Suppose at  $t = 0$  you measure the spin to be up along the  $\hat{z}$  direction. You then apply a rotation by 90 degrees about the  $\hat{x}$  axis,  $\mathbf{U}(\hat{x}, \pi/2)$ . What is the resulting state of the spin? What is the probability of measuring up along the  $\hat{z}$  axis now?

### 4. A Mach-Zehnder interferometer.

Schumacher Exercise 2.7, page 23. (Electronic version [here](#).)

### 5. Maximally entangled spins.

Consider the following state in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$  of two qbits  $A$  and  $B$ :

$$\sqrt{2}|\text{Bohm}\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle_A |\downarrow\rangle_B - |\downarrow\rangle_A |\uparrow\rangle_B \equiv |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle.$$

Show that this state is a *singlet* of the total spin operator:

$$\vec{\sigma}_T \equiv \vec{\sigma}_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B + \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \vec{\sigma}_B ,$$

<sup>2</sup> meaning that

$$\vec{\sigma}_T |\text{Bohm}\rangle = 0 .$$

Notice that this means that in this Bohm state, a measurement of any component of  $\sigma_A$  always gives the minus the measurement of the same component of  $\sigma_B$ , whichever component we choose to measure.

---

<sup>2</sup>We will sometimes abbreviate expressions like this as  $\vec{\sigma}_T = \vec{\sigma}_A + \vec{\sigma}_B$ .