University of California at San Diego – Department of Physics – Prof. John McGreevy

# Physics 239/139 Spring 2018 Assignment 7

Due 12:30pm Monday, May 21, 2018

## 1. Brainwarmer.

Show that if  $\mathcal{M}_a \geq 0$  are a collection of positive maps on A then the map

$$\mathcal{M}: \boldsymbol{\rho} \mapsto \sum_a \mathrm{tr} \mathcal{M}_a \boldsymbol{\rho} |a\rangle\!\langle a|$$

is completely positive. (Use the criterion that follows from the Schrödinger lemma.)

2. Two faces of strong subadditivity. Show that for any state  $\rho_{ABC}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_C$ ,

$$S(A) + S(B) \le S(AC) + S(BC)$$

and that this statement is equivalent to strong subadditivity in the form

$$S(A'C') + S(A'B') \ge S(A') + S(A'B'C') \qquad \forall A'B'C'.$$

Hint: use the Araki-Lieb purification trick.

3. Consequences of SSA for mutual information. Prove that SSA implies

$$I(A:B) + I(A:C) \le 2S(A).$$

Is the analogous inequality for Shannon entropies true? More specifically, remind yourself why classically  $H(A:B) \leq H(A)$ .

Find an example of a state where I(A:B) > S(A). Appreciate how nontrivial SSA is.

### 4. Measurement is coarse-graining.

Let  $\rho, \sigma$  be two states on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and let  $\{\mathcal{M}_x\}$  be a POVM. Define the classical probability distributions  $p_x, q_x$  from the outcomes of a measurement of  $\{\mathcal{M}_x\}$  on the states  $\rho, \sigma$  respectively (that is,  $p_x = \operatorname{tr} \rho \mathcal{M}_x$  etc). Show that

$$\hat{D}(\boldsymbol{\rho}||\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \ge D(p||q).$$

## 5. The entropy exchange. [Petz' book]

Many of the quantum channels we considered in the previous homework have the property that they increase the von Neumann entropy of their victim. An exception is the amplitude damping channel, which makes the state more pure. An implementation of a second law of thermodynamics would require us to identify a total entropy which probably increases.

We showed that any channel can be regarded as unitary evolution on a larger space (followed by partial trace), and unitary evolution doesn't change the entropy (of the whole system) at all. Even without introducing the environment explicitly, the Kraus operators give us a way to keep track of the entropy:

Suppose a CPTP map  $\mathcal{E}$ : End(A)  $\to$  End(B) has Kraus representation  $\{K_i\}_{i=1..r}$ . For any density matrix on A, let

$$\varsigma_{ij} \equiv \operatorname{tr} K_i \boldsymbol{\rho} K_j^{\dagger}.$$

(Recall that the index on the Kraus operators comes from a basis on the environment.)

- (a) Show that  $\varsigma_{ij}$  is positive and has unit trace as a matrix in the ij space.
- (b) The von Neumann entropy of  $\zeta_{ij}$ ,  $S(\zeta) = -\text{tr}\zeta \log \zeta$  is called the *entropy* exchange. Show that  $S(\zeta)$  is preserved by the equivalence relation between Kraus representations of  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- (c) Show (by Stinespring dilation) that  $\varsigma$  is the reduced density matrix of the *environment* after the action of the channel, and therefore that the entropy exchange is equal to the entropy of the environment after the action of the channel.

In lecture we showed several results beginning with monotonicity of the relative entropy as the starting point. Here we will show, following Ruskai, that SSA is just as good a starting point.

### 6. SSA implies concavity of the conditional entropy.

(a) Show that SSA can be rewritten as

$$D(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{12}||\boldsymbol{\rho}_2) \le D(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{123}||\boldsymbol{\rho}_{23}) \tag{1}$$

where  $\rho_2$  means  $\mathbb{1}_1 \otimes \rho_2$  etc. (Note that in this expression the arguments are not density matrices and positivity of the BHS is not guaranteed.)

(b) Consider a bipartite state  $\rho_{12}$ . Show that

$$D(\rho_{12}||1|/d_1 \otimes \rho_2) = -S(12) + S(2) + \log d_1 = -S(1|2) + \log d_1$$
.

(c) Apply SSA in the form (1) to the state

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{123} = \sum_{i} p_{i} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{12}^{i} \otimes |i\rangle \langle i|_{3}.$$

Conclude the statement in the title of this problem.

## 7. SSA implies monotonicity of the relative entropy.

(a) Show that for F(A) convex and homogeneous F(xA) = xF(A),

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{F(A+xB) - F(A)}{x} \le F(B). \tag{2}$$

- (b) Recall from problem (6) that SSA implies concavity of  $S(2|1) \equiv S(\rho_{12}) S(\rho_1)$ .
- (c) Combine the first two parts of this problem, setting

$$A \equiv \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{12}, B \equiv \boldsymbol{\rho}_{12}$$

in (2) to show monotonicity of the relative entropy under partial trace.

#### 8. SSA implies joint convexity of relative entropy.

(a) Monotonicity of the relative entropy implies joint convexity. Apply monotonicity of the relative entropy to the following block-diagonal bipartite states

$$oldsymbol{
ho}_{AB} = \sum_{i} p_{i} oldsymbol{
ho}_{A}^{i} \otimes \ket{i}ra{i}_{B} \,, \ \ oldsymbol{\sigma}_{AB} = \sum_{i} p_{i} oldsymbol{\sigma}_{A}^{i} \otimes \ket{i}ra{i}_{B} \ \ .$$

Conclude the boldface statement.

- (b) Conclude from the previous part (8a) and (7) that SSA implies joint convexity of the relative entropy.
- (c) Check that there are no loops in the above chains of reasoning.