

Physics 215B QFT Winter 2022

Assignment 2 – Solutions

Due 11:59pm Monday, January 17, 2022

Thanks in advance for following the submission guidelines on hw01. Please ask me by email if you have any trouble.

1. Brain-warmer.

Use the Clifford algebra to show that

$$\gamma^\mu (x\not{p} + m) \gamma_\mu = -2x\not{p} + 4m$$

where as usual $\not{p} \equiv p^\mu \gamma_\mu$. This identity will be useful in the numerator of the electron self-energy.

2. An example of renormalization in classical physics.

Consider a classical scalar field in $D + 2$ spacetime dimensions coupled to an *impurity* (or defect or brane) in D dimensions, located at $X = (x^\mu, 0, 0)$. Suppose the field has a self-interaction which is localized on the defect. For definiteness and calculability, we'll consider the simple (quadratic) action

$$S[\phi] = \int d^{D+2}X \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_M \phi(X) \partial^M \phi(X) + g \delta^2(\vec{x}_\perp) \phi^2(X) \right).$$

Here $X^M = (x^\mu, x_\perp^i)$, $\mu = 0..D - 1$, $i = 1, 2$, *i.e.* x_\perp are coordinates transverse to the impurity.

This example is from [this paper](#) by Goldberger and Wise.

- What is the mass dimension of the coupling g ? This is why I picked a codimension¹-two defect.
- Find the equation of motion for ϕ . Where have you seen an equation like this before?

It's the Schrödinger equation for a particle in a 2d delta function potential.

¹An object whose position requires specification of p coordinates has codimension p .

(c) We will study the propagator for the field in a mixed representation:

$$G_k(x, y) \equiv \langle \phi(k, x) \phi(-k, y) \rangle = \int d^D z e^{ik_\mu z^\mu} \langle \phi(z, x) \phi(0, y) \rangle$$

– *i.e.* we go to momentum space in the directions in which translation symmetry is preserved by the defect. Find and evaluate the diagrams contributing to $G_k(x, y)$ in terms of the free propagator $D_k(x, y) \equiv \langle \phi(k, x) \phi(-k, y) \rangle_{g=0}$. (We will not need the full form of $D_k(x, y)$.) Sum the series.

I found it convenient to do this problem in Euclidean spacetime, so G and D are Euclidean propagators.

The euclidean path integral is of the form $\int D\phi e^{-S_0} e^{-V}$ where S_0 is the kinetic term and $V = \int d^{D+2}x \delta^2(x_\perp) g \phi^2$. So if we work in euclidean time, the two-point vertex is $-g\delta^{(2)}(x)$, and no \mathbf{i} s will appear. From the sum of diagrams of the form (just as if we had done perturbation theory in the mass)

$$- \quad + \quad -x- \quad + \quad -x-x- \quad + \quad -x-x-x- \quad \dots$$

we find a geometric series

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(x, y) &= D_k(x, y) - g \int d^2 z_1 D_k(x, z_1) \delta^{(2)}(z_1) D_k(z_1, y) \\ &\quad + (-g)^2 \int d^2 z_1 \int d^2 z_2 D_k(x, z_1) \delta^{(2)}(z_1) D_k(z_1, z_2) \delta^{(2)}(z_2) D_k(z_2, y) + \dots \\ &= D_k(x, y) - g D_k(x, 0) D_k(0, y) + (-g)^2 D_k(x, 0) D_k(0, 0) D_k(0, y) \\ &\quad + (-g)^3 D_k(x, 0) D_k(0, 0)^2 D_k(0, y) + \dots \\ &= D_k(x, y) - g D_k(x, 0) (1 - g D_k(0, 0) + (-g)^2 D_k(0, 0)^2 + \dots) D_k(0, y) \\ &= D_k(x, y) - \frac{g}{1 + g D_k(0, 0)} D_k(x, 0) D_k(0, y). \end{aligned}$$

(d) You should find that your answer to part 2c depends on $D_k(0, 0)$, which is divergent. This divergence arises from the fact that we are treating the defect as infinitely thin, as a pointlike object – the δ^2 -function in the interaction involves arbitrarily short wavelengths. In general, as usual, we must really be agnostic about the short-distance structure of things. To reflect this, we introduce a regulator. For example, we can replace the fourier representation of $D_k(0, 0)$ with the cutoff version

$$D_k(0, 0; \Lambda) = \int_0^\Lambda d^2 q \frac{e^{i q \cdot 0}}{k^2 + q^2}. \quad (1)$$

Do the integral.

Note that the formula (1) would need an extra factor of \mathbf{i} if we were working in real time (in which case the interaction vertex would be $-\mathbf{i}g\delta^2(x)$, and the \mathbf{i} s would eat each other).

$$D_k(0, 0; \Lambda) = \int_0^\Lambda d^2q \frac{e^{\mathbf{i}q \cdot 0}}{k^2 + q^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda^2 + k^2}{k^2} \stackrel{\Lambda \gg k}{\cong} \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{k^2}.$$

These dimensions we're integrating here are spacelike, so there's no need for any Wick rotation.

- (e) Now we renormalize. We will let the *bare coupling* g (the one which appears in the Lagrangian, and in the series from part 2c) depend on the cutoff $g = g(\Lambda)$. We wish to eliminate $g(\Lambda)$ in our expressions in favor of some measurable quantity. To do this, we impose a renormalization condition: choose some reference scale μ , and demand that²

$$G_\mu(x, y) \stackrel{!}{=} D_\mu(x, y) - g(\mu)D_\mu(x, 0)D_\mu(0, y). \quad (2)$$

This equation defines $g(\mu)$, which we regard as a physical quantity. Show that (2) is satisfied if we let the bare coupling be $g(\Lambda) = g(\mu)Z$, with

$$Z = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{g(\mu)}{4\pi} \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2} \right)}.$$

- (f) Find the beta function for g ,

$$\beta_g(g) \equiv \mu \frac{dg(\mu)}{d\mu},$$

and solve the resulting RG equation for $g(\mu)$ in terms of some initial condition $g(\mu_0)$. Does the coupling get weaker or stronger in the UV?

You may be bothered that we previously defined the beta function as $\Lambda \partial_\Lambda g(\Lambda)$, in terms of the cutoff dependence. In a classically scale-invariant theory, the dependence on Λ and μ is very closely tied together, since there are no other scales in the problem.

Solving for $g(\Lambda)$ gives

$$g(\Lambda) = \frac{g(\mu)}{1 - \frac{g(\mu)}{4\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2}}.$$

²Note that if we worked in real time, there would be an extra \mathbf{i} in front of the second term on the RHS.

Then

$$\beta_g(g) = \frac{g(\Lambda)}{\left(1 - \frac{g(\Lambda)}{4\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2}\right)^2} \frac{g(\Lambda)}{2\pi} = \frac{g^2(\mu)}{2\pi} = \frac{g^2}{2\pi}.$$

The solution is

$$g(\mu) = \frac{g(\mu_0)}{1 - \frac{g(\mu_0)}{2\pi} \log \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}}$$

which grows with μ . Something bad happens when the denominator vanishes:

$$1 = \frac{g(\mu_0)}{2\pi} \log \frac{\mu_\star}{\mu_0}.$$

This scale μ_\star where the coupling blows up is called a *Landau pole*.

3. Scale invariance in QFT in $D = 0 + 0$. [I got this problem from Frederik Denef.]

A nice realization of QFT in $0 + 0$ dimensions is the statistical mechanics of a collection of non-interacting particles. The canonical partition function for a single particle (moving in one dimension) is

$$Z = \int dP dX e^{-\beta H} \propto \sqrt{T} Z_V(T) \quad (3)$$

with $H = \frac{P^2}{2} + V(X)$ and $T = 1/\beta$. The momentum integral is Gaussian and we can just do it. The partition function of N non-interacting indistinguishable particles is then $Z^N/N!$, which just multiplies the energy $U = T^2 \partial_T \log Z$ by a factor of N , so we don't miss anything by focussing on the single particle.

Let's consider the case

$$V(X) = aX^2 + bX^4 + cX^6 \quad (4)$$

and figure out the important features of the temperature dependence of the thermodynamic quantities by scaling arguments.

- (a) Assuming $a \neq 0, b \neq 0, c \neq 0$, find the behavior of the thermal energy U and the heat capacity $C = \partial_T U$ in the limit $T \rightarrow 0$ and in the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$ using scaling arguments. Which parts of the potential determine the respective limiting behaviors?

First, to understand the low-temperature behavior, let $x \equiv X/\sqrt{T}$, so that

$$Z_V = \int dX e^{-V(X)/T} = T^{1/2} \int dx e^{-(ax^2 + bx^4T + cx^6T^2)} = T^{1/2} \underbrace{\int dx e^{-ax^2}}_{\text{some number}} \underbrace{e^{-(bx^4T + cx^6T^2)}}_{\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow 0} 1}. \quad (5)$$

Therefore, $Z \stackrel{T \rightarrow 0}{\propto} T^{1/2+1/2}$. In this case the quadratic term is most important. If $Z \propto T^\alpha$ then $U = \alpha T$ and $C = \alpha$, so here $C = 1$. To understand the high-temperature behavior, let $y \equiv X/T^{1/6}$ so that

$$Z_V = \int dX e^{-V(X)/T} = T^{1/6} \int dy e^{-(cy^6 + ax^2/T^{2/3} + bx^4/T^{2/3})} = T^{1/6} \int dy e^{-cy^6} \underbrace{e^{-(ax^2/T^{2/3} + bx^4/T^{2/3})}}_{T \rightarrow \infty 1}. \quad (6)$$

So at high temperatures $C \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}$. At high temperature, the particle can explore the whole potential and the highest power in the potential is what matters.

- (b) If some of the couplings a, b, c vanish, the low or high temperature scaling behavior may change. For example, what is the heat capacity at low temperature when $a = 0, b \neq 0$?

In this case, the quartic term dominates and $Z_V \sim T^{1/4}$ and $C = 3/4$.

A word about notation: the symbol \sim is usually used by physicists to indicate a scaling relationship, where the constant prefactors are neglected. The relation we derive here for C however is an equality in the relevant regime of temperatures – the constant is the thing that matters.

- (c) When b is sufficiently large (and $a \neq 0, c \neq 0$), there will be an intermediate temperature regime over which the heat capacity is again constant, but different from the low- and high-temperature limits. What is this heat capacity?

Same as the previous part.

- (d) In general, we can think of the change of C with T as a kind of classical renormalization group (RG) flow, interpolating between ‘fixed points’ where C becomes constant. In general, these fixed points correspond to potentials $V(X)$ with a scaling symmetry $V(\lambda^\Delta X) = \lambda V(X)$ for some choice of scaling dimension Δ of X . What is the heat capacity for a fixed point with scaling dimension Δ for X ?

$$Z_V = \int dX e^{-V(X)/T} = \int dX e^{-V(T^{-\Delta} X)} = T^\Delta \underbrace{\int dx e^{-V(x)}}_{\text{indep of } T} \quad (7)$$

with $x \equiv T^{-\Delta} X$. So $Z \propto T^{1/2+\Delta}$ and $C = \Delta + \frac{1}{2}$.

- (e) Borrowing more language of the renormalization group, we can classify deformations $\delta V(X) = \epsilon X^m$ of a fixed point $V(X) \propto X^{2n}$ as irrelevant, marginal, or relevant, depending on whether the deformation becomes dominant or negligible in the IR limit, *i.e.* in the limit of low T . Here are below

ϵ can take on any value, not necessarily small. Restricting to deformations with an $X \rightarrow -X$ symmetry, what are the relevant and irrelevant deformations of $V(X) = X^{2n}$? (Note that a deformation $\delta V = \epsilon X^{2n}$ can be absorbed into a redefinition of X , which does not change the heat capacity.)

Lower powers than $2n$ are relevant, higher powers are irrelevant.

- (f) The T -dependence of correlation functions (here, expectation values of powers of X) at fixed points is also determined by the scaling properties. What is the T -dependence of $\langle X^k \rangle$ at a fixed point $V(X) = X^{2n}$?

$$\langle X^k \rangle = \frac{\int dX X^k e^{-V(X)/T}}{Z_V} = \frac{T^{\Delta(1+k)} \int dx x^k e^{-V(x)}}{T^\Delta} \propto T^{\Delta k}$$

where $\Delta = \frac{1}{2n}$ is the scaling dimension of X .

- (g) Non-polynomial $V(X)$ can be considered as well. For example, what is the heat capacity at small and large T for $V(X) = (1 + X^2)^{1/n}$?

Since this function still grows at large X , the high-temperature behavior is dominated by the large- X behavior where $V(X) \sim X^{2/n}$, so $\Delta = n/2$. At low temperature, we Taylor expand in small X to find $V(X) \sim 1 + X^2/n$ and find $\Delta = 1/2$.

4. **Meson scattering.** Consider again Yukawa theory with fermions, with

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi} (\mathbf{i}\not{\partial} - m) \Psi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} M^2 \phi^2 + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}$$

and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = g \bar{\Psi} \Psi \phi$.

- (a) Consider the correction to the process $\phi\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi$ coming from a fermion loop. What counterterm is required to renormalize this interaction? (You don't need to actually do the integral for this problem.)

The one-loop contributions come from a fermion loop with four ϕ s attached by the Yukawa vertex. There are various such diagrams related by exchanging the external momenta. A counterterm which can absorb its cutoff dependence is a ϕ^4 term.

- (b) Do you need a cutoff-dependent counterterm of the form $\delta_3 \phi^3$ in this theory?

It seems like you might, since you can draw a fermion loop and attach three external legs. Naively this goes like $\int \frac{d^D p}{p^3}$ which is divergent for $D \geq 3$. However, the spinor trace vanishes at zero external momentum. This means the leading contribution must be proportional to powers of the external momenta and therefore (by Taylor expansion) is proportional to $\int \frac{d^D p}{p^5}$ which is finite for $D \leq 5$.

A better answer might be to argue that there is a $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$ symmetry, which would forbid a ϕ^3 interaction. Such a symmetry would have to act on ψ as well in order to preserve the Yukawa term. It would have to act by a discrete symmetry under which $\bar{\psi}\psi$ is odd. As you can remind yourself in Peskin §3, there is such an operation. But – the mass term $m\bar{\psi}\psi$ would be odd under this term. This shows that the answer must be proportional to m , and then dimensional analysis shows that it is finite.

Note that an on-shell process $\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi$ is forbidden by kinematics (i.e. energy and momentum conservation) unless ϕ is massless. This doesn't mean that we wouldn't need a counterterm for it if it were cutoff dependent: it can appear as part of a more complicated process, such as $\phi\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi$.

5. **Electron-photon scattering at low energy.** [This is an optional bonus problem for those of you who wish to experience some of the glory of tree-level QED.]

Consider the process $e\gamma \rightarrow e\gamma$ in QED at leading order.

- (a) Draw and evaluate the two diagrams.
- (b) Find $\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{spins, polarizations}} |\mathcal{M}|^2$.
- (c) Construct the two-body final-state phase space measure in the limit where the photon frequency is $\omega \ll m$ (the electron mass), in the rest frame of the electron. I suggest the following kinematical variables:

$$p_1 = (\omega, 0, 0, \omega), p_2 = (m, 0, 0, 0), p_4 = (\omega', \omega' \sin \theta, 0, \omega' \cos \theta), p_3 = p_1 + p_2 - p_4 = (E', p')$$

for the incoming photon, incoming electron, outgoing photon and outgoing electron respectively.

- (d) Find the differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta}$ as a function of ω, θ, m . (The expression can be prettified by using the on-shell condition $p_3^2 = m^2$ to relate ω' to ω, θ . It is named after Klein and Nishina.) Compare to experiment.
- (e) Show that the limit $E \ll m$ gives the (Thomson) scattering cross section for classical electromagnetic radiation from a free electron.