University of California at San Diego - Department of Physics - Prof. John McGreevy

## Physics 215B QFT Winter 2022 Assignment 2

Due 11:59pm Monday, January 17, 2022
Thanks in advance for following the submission guidelines on hw01. Please ask me by email if you have any trouble.

## 1. Brain-warmer.

Use the Clifford algebra to show that

$$
\gamma^{\mu}(x \not p+m) \gamma_{\mu}=-2 x \not p+4 m
$$

where as usual $\not p \equiv p^{\mu} \gamma_{\mu}$. This identity will be useful in the numerator of the electron self-energy.
2. An example of renormalization in classical physics.

Consider a classical scalar field in $D+2$ spacetime dimensions coupled to an impurity (or defect or brane) in $D$ dimensions, located at $X=\left(x^{\mu}, 0,0\right)$. Suppose the field has a self-interaction which is localized on the defect. For definiteness and calculability, we'll consider the simple (quadratic) action

$$
S[\phi]=\int d^{D+2} X\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{M} \phi(X) \partial^{M} \phi(X)+g \delta^{2}\left(\vec{x}_{\perp}\right) \phi^{2}(X)\right) .
$$

Here $X^{M}=\left(x^{\mu}, x_{\perp}^{i}\right), \mu=0 . . D-1, i=1,2$, i.e. $x_{\perp}$ are coordinates transverse to the impurity.
(a) What is the mass dimension of the coupling $g$ ? This is why I picked a codimension ${ }^{1}$-two defect.
(b) Find the equation of motion for $\phi$. Where have you seen an equation like this before?
(c) We will study the propagator for the field in a mixed representation:

$$
G_{k}(x, y) \equiv\langle\phi(k, x) \phi(-k, y)\rangle=\int d^{D} z e^{\mathrm{i} k_{\mu} z^{\mu}}\langle\phi(z, x) \phi(0, y)\rangle
$$

[^0]- i.e. we go to momentum space in the directions in which translation symmetry is preserved by the defect. Find and evaluate the diagrams contributing to $G_{k}(x, y)$ in terms of the free propagator $D_{k}(x, y) \equiv\langle\phi(k, x) \phi(-k, y)\rangle_{g=0}$. (We will not need the full form of $D_{k}(x, y)$.) Sum the series.
I found it convenient to do this problem in Euclidean spacetime, so $G$ and $D$ are Euclidean propagators.
(d) You should find that your answer to part 2c depends on $D_{k}(0,0)$, which is divergent. This divergence arises from the fact that we are treating the defect as infinitely thin, as a pointlike object - the $\delta^{2}$-function in the interaction involves arbitrarily short wavelengths. In general, as usual, we must really be agnostic about the short-distance structure of things. To reflect this, we introduce a regulator. For example, we can replace the fourier representation of $D_{k}(0,0)$ with the cutoff version

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}(0,0 ; \Lambda)=\int_{0}^{\Lambda} \mathrm{d}^{2} q \frac{e^{\mathbf{i} \cdot \cdot 0}}{k^{2}+q^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Do the integral.
(e) Now we renormalize. We will let the bare coupling $g$ (the one which appears in the Lagrangian, and in the series from part 2c) depend on the cutoff $g=g(\Lambda)$. We wish to eliminate $g(\Lambda)$ in our expressions in favor of some measurable quantity. To do this, we impose a renormalization condition: choose some reference scale $\mu$, and demand that ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mu}(x, y) \stackrel{!}{=} D_{\mu}(x, y)-g(\mu) D_{\mu}(x, 0) D_{\mu}(0, y) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation defines $g(\mu)$, which we regard as a physical quantity. Show that (2) is satisfied if we let the bare coupling be $g(\Lambda)=g(\mu) Z$, with

$$
Z=\frac{1}{1-\frac{g(\mu)}{4 \pi} \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)} .
$$

(f) Find the beta function for $g$,

$$
\beta_{g}(g) \equiv \mu \frac{d g(\mu)}{d \mu}
$$

and solve the resulting RG equation for $g(\mu)$ in terms of some initial condition $g\left(\mu_{0}\right)$. Does the coupling get weaker or stronger in the UV?

[^1]3. Scale invariance in QFT in $D=0+0$. [I got this problem from Frederik Denef.]

A nice realization of QFT in $0+0$ dimensions is the statistical mechanics of a collection of non-interacting particles. The canonical partition function for a single particle (moving in one dimension) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\int \mathrm{d} P d X e^{-\beta H} \propto \sqrt{T} Z_{V}(T) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $H=\frac{P^{2}}{2}+V(X)$ and $T=1 / \beta$. The momentum integral is Gaussian and we can just do it. The partition function of $N$ non-interacting indistinguishable particles is then $Z^{N} / N$ !, which just multiplies the energy $U=T^{2} \partial_{T} \log Z$ by a factor of $N$, so we don't miss anything by focussing on the single particle.
Let's consider the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(X)=a X^{2}+b X^{4}+c X^{6} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and figure out the important features of the temperature dependence of the thermodynamic quantities by scaling arguments.
(a) Assuming $a \neq 0, b \neq 0, c \neq 0$, find the behavior of the thermal energy $U$ and the heat capacity $C=\partial_{T} U$ in the limit $T \rightarrow 0$ and in the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$ using scaling arguments. Which parts of the potential determine the respective limiting behaviors?
(b) If some of the couplings $a, b, c$ vanish, the low or high temperature scaling behavior may change. For example, what is the heat capacity at low temperature when $a=0, b \neq 0$ ?
(c) When $b$ is sufficiently large (and $a \neq 0, c \neq 0$ ), there will be an intermediate temperature regime over which the heat capacity is again constant, but different from the low- and high-temperature limits. What is this heat capacity?
(d) In general, we can think of the change of $C$ with $T$ as a kind of classical renormalization group (RG) flow, interpolating between 'fixed points' where $C$ becomes constant. In general, these fixed points correspond to potentials $V(X)$ with a scaling symmetry $V\left(\lambda^{\Delta} X\right)=\lambda V(X)$ for some choice of scaling dimension $\Delta$ of $X$. What is the heat capacity for a fixed point with scaling dimension $\Delta$ for $X$ ?
(e) Borrowing more language of the renormalization group, we can classify deformations $\delta V(X)=\epsilon X^{m}$ of a fixed point $V(X) \propto X^{2 n}$ as irrelevant,
marginal, or relevant, depending on whether the deformation becomes dominant or negligible in the IR limit, i.e. in the limit of low $T$. Here are below $\epsilon$ can take on any value, not necessarily small. Restricting to deformations with an $X \rightarrow-X$ symmetry, what are the relevant and irrelevant deformations of $V(X)=X^{2 n}$ ? (Note that a deformation $\delta V=\epsilon X^{2 n}$ can be absorbed into a redefinition of $X$, which does not change the heat capacity.)
(f) The $T$-dependence of correlation functions (here, expectation values of powers of $X$ ) at fixed points is also determined by the scaling properties. What is the $T$-dependence of $\left\langle X^{k}\right\rangle$ at a fixed point $V(X)=X^{2 n}$ ?
(g) Non-polynomial $V(X)$ can be considered as well. For example, what is the heat capacity at small and large $T$ for $V(X)=\left(1+X^{2}\right)^{1 / n}$ ?
4. Meson scattering. Consider again Yukawa theory with fermions, with

$$
\mathcal{L}=\bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{i} \not \partial-m) \Psi+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi-\frac{1}{2} M^{2} \phi^{2}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}}
$$

and $\mathcal{L}_{\text {int }}=g \bar{\Psi} \Psi \phi$.
(a) Consider the correction to the process $\phi \phi \rightarrow \phi \phi$ coming from a fermion loop. What counterterm is required to renormalize this interaction? (You don't need to actually do the integral for this problem.)
(b) Do you need a cutoff-dependent counterterm of the form $\delta_{3} \phi^{3}$ in this theory?
5. Electron-photon scattering at low energy. [This is an optional bonus problem for those of you who wish to experience some of the glory of tree-level QED.] Consider the process $e \gamma \rightarrow e \gamma$ in QED at leading order.
(a) Draw and evaluate the two diagrams.
(b) Find $\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text {spins,polarizations }}|\mathcal{M}|^{2}$.
(c) Construct the two-body final-state phase space measure in the limit where the photon frequency is $\omega \ll m$ (the electron mass), in the rest frame of the electron. I suggest the following kinematical variables:

$$
p_{1}=(\omega, 0,0, \omega), p_{2}=(m, 0,0,0), p_{4}=\left(\omega^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime} \sin \theta, 0, \omega^{\prime} \cos \theta\right), p_{3}=p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{4}=\left(E^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)
$$

for the incoming photon, incoming electron, outgoing photon and outgoing electron respectively.
(d) Find the differential cross section $\frac{d \sigma}{d \cos \theta}$ as a function of $\omega, \theta, m$. (The expression can be prettified by using the on-shell condition $p_{3}^{2}=m^{2}$ to relate $\omega^{\prime}$ to $\omega, \theta$. It is named after Klein and Nishina.) Compare to experiment.
(e) Show that the limit $E \ll m$ gives the (Thomson) scattering cross section for classical electromagnetic radiation from a free electron.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ An object whose position requires specification of $p$ coordinates has codimension $p$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Note that if we worked in real time, there would be an extra $\mathbf{i}$ in front of the second term on the RHS.

